

# The Environmental-friendliness of Wacom Products, shown Numerically

## Miniaturization of products

|   | Size (W x D x H) (mm) | Volume*** (cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Reduction rate compared to the comparative model |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| <b>Wacom One 13 touch (DTH134)</b>            | 335.8 x 221.7 x 11.5  | 742                          | 21.4 %   |
| Comparative model (DTC133)                    | 357.0 x 225.0 x 14.6  | 944                          |  |
| <b>Wacom One 12* (DTC121)</b>                 | 298.8 x 190.0 x 11.1  | 559                          |  |
| <b>Wacom One M (CTC6110WL)</b>                | 251.7 x 181.3 x 7.9   | 351                          | 6.1 %  |
| Comparative model (CTL-6100WL)                | 264 x 200 x 8.8       | 374                          |  |
| <b>Wacom One S (CTC4110WL)</b>                | 187.7 x 141.3 x 7.9   | 202                          | 10.2 %   |
| Comparative model (CTL-4100WL)                | 200 x 160 x 8.8       | 225                          |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 (DTH271)</b>           | 637.7 x 379.1 x 31    | 7,100                        | 19.8 %   |
| Comparative model (DTH-2420)                  | 676.5 x 394.0 x 47    | 8,852                        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 (DTH227)</b>           | 516.7 x 311.5 x 30.4  | 4,559                        | 18.9 %   |
| Comparative model (Cintiq 22)                 | 570 x 359 x 38        | 5,621                        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17** (DTH172)</b>         | 423.5 x 252.9 x 21    | 2,149                        | -32.4 %  |
| Comparative model (Cintiq Pro 16)             | 410 x 266 x 22        | 1,623                        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 Stand (ACK64801KZ)</b> | 600 x 357 x 319.6     | 5,974                        | 37.3 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 681.6 x 404.5 x 425.6 | 9,535                        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 Stand (ACK64802KZ)</b> | 480 x 332 x 295       | 5,904                        | 38.1 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 681.6 x 404.5 x 425.6 | 9,535                        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 Stand (ACK64803KZ)</b> | 400 x 297 x 263       | 4,041                        | 57.6 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 681.6 x 404.5 x 425.6 | 9,535                        |  |

\* As there is no comparative model to compare with Wacom One 12 (DTC121), only current values are listed.

\*\* Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 is wider than the comparative model by about 1.4 cm to gain performance improvement of its pen.

\*\*\* The volume is calculated with CAD data and is different from the value obtained by multiplying width, depth, and height.

## Product weight reduction

|   | Weight (kg) | Reduction rate compared to the comparative model |
|---|-------------|--|
| <b>Wacom One 13 touch (DTH134)</b>            | 0.89        | 11.0 %   |
| Comparative model (DTC133)                    | 1.0         |  |
| <b>Wacom One 12* (DTC121)</b>                 | 0.69        |  |
| <b>Wacom One M (CTC6110WL)</b>                | 0.35        | 14.6 %   |
| Comparative model (CTL-6100WL)                | 0.41        |  |
| <b>Wacom One S (CTC4110WL)</b>                | 0.20        | 20.0 %   |
| Comparative model (CTL-4100WL)                | 0.25        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27** (DTH271)</b>         | 7.2         | 0.0 %  |
| Comparative model (DTH-2420)                  | 7.2         |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 (DTH227)</b>           | 5.0         | 10.7 %   |
| Comparative model (Cintiq 22)                 | 5.6         |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17*** (DTH172)</b>        | 2.2         | -15.8 %  |
| Comparative model (Cintiq Pro 16)             | 1.9         |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 Stand (ACK64801KZ)</b> | 9.0         | 52.6 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 19.0        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 Stand (ACK64802KZ)</b> | 8.6         | 54.7 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 19.0        |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 Stand (ACK64803KZ)</b> | 6.0         | 68.4 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 19.0        |  |

\* As there is no comparative model to compare with Wacom One 12 (DTC121), only current value is listed.

\*\* Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 has a larger screen size than the comparative model, DTH-2420, but maintains the same weight (i.e., weight per screen size has been reduced).

\*\*\* The weight of Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 has increased by about 16% compared to the comparative model as a result of securing high performance.

# The Environmental-friendliness of Wacom Products, shown Numerically

## Package size reduction

|   | Size (W x D x H) (mm) | Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Reduction rate compared to the comparative model |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| <b>Wacom One 13 touch (DTH134)</b>            | 265 x 382 x 68        | 6,884                     | 11.1 %   |
| Comparative model (DTC133)                    | 260 x 397 x 75        | 7,742                     |  |
| <b>Wacom One 12* (DTC121)</b>                 | 228 x 337 x 68        | 5,225                     |  |
| <b>Wacom One M (CTC6110WL)</b>                | 195 x 258 x 33        | 1,660                     | 29.9 %   |
| Comparative model (CTL-6100WL)                | 226 x 291 x 36        | 2,368                     |  |
| <b>Wacom One S (CTC4110WL)</b>                | 155 x 194 x 33        | 992                       | 34.1 %   |
| Comparative model (CTL-4100WL)                | 185 x 226 x 36        | 1,505                     |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 (DTH271)</b>           | 802 x 532 x 124       | 52,900                    | 33.3 %   |
| Comparative model (DTH-2420)                  | 795 x 545 x 183       | 79,289                    |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 (DTH227)</b>           | 680 x 476 x 124       | 40,136                    | 50.6 %   |
| Comparative model (Cintiq 22)                 | 660 x 535 x 230       | 81,213                    |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17** (DTH172)</b>         | 470 x 347 x 87        | 14,188                    | -29.6%   |
| Comparative model (Cintiq Pro 16)             | 459 x 367 x 65        | 10,949                    |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 Stand (ACK64801KZ)</b> | 748 x 505 x 216       | 81,591                    | 69.3 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 930 x 525 x 545       | 266,096                   |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 Stand (ACK64802KZ)</b> | 605 x 505 x 217       | 66,298                    | 75.1 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 930 x 525 x 545       | 266,096                   |  |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 Stand (ACK64803KZ)</b> | 460 x 434 x 217       | 43,321                    | 83.7%  |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 930 x 525 x 545       | 266,096                   |  |

\* As there is no comparative model to compare with Wacom One 12 (DTC121), only current values are listed.

\*\*The package size of Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 is larger than that of comparative model because its simple stand is enclosed.

## Improvement of transportation efficiency

|   | Number of units that can be loaded per pallet <b>for shipping</b> | Improvement compared to the comparative model | Number of units that can be loaded per pallet for <b>Air transport</b> | Improvement compared to the comparative model |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| <b>Wacom One 13 touch (DTH134)</b>            | 120   | 0 %   | 72   | 0 %   |
| Comparative model (DTC133)                    | 120   |   | 72   |   |
| <b>Wacom One 12* (DTC121)</b>                 | 180   |   | 120  |   |
| <b>Wacom One M** (CTC6110WL)</b>              | 600   | -5 %  | 500  | 39 %  |
| Comparative model (CTL-6100WL)                | 630   |   | 360  |   |
| <b>Wacom One S** (CTC4110WL)</b>              | 960   | -11 %   | 720  | 20 %  |
| Comparative model (CTL-4100WL)                | 1080  |   | 600  |   |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 (DTH271)</b>           | 24  | 33 %  | 16   | 33 %  |
| Comparative model (DTH-2420)                  | 18  |   | 12   |   |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 (DTH227)</b>           | 40  | 122 %   | 20   | 67%   |
| Comparative model (Cintiq 22)                 | 18  |   | 12   |   |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17*** (DTH172)</b>        | 60  | -38 %   | 30   | -58 %   |
| Comparative model (Cintiq Pro 16)             | 96  |   | 72   |   |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 27 Stand (ACK64801KZ)</b> | 18  | 200 %   | 12   | 200 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 6   |   | 4  |   |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 22 Stand (ACK64802KZ)</b> | 18  | 200 %   | 12   | 200 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 6   |   | 4  |   |
| <b>Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 Stand (ACK64803KZ)</b> | 40  | 567%  | 20   | 400 %   |
| Comparative model (Ergo Stand)                | 6   |   | 4  |   |

\* As there is no comparative model to compare with Wacom One 12 (DTC121), only current values are listed.

\*\* For Wacom One M & S, after the release of the comparative model, the maximum number of units that can be loaded per pallet has decreased due to tightened restrictions on the loading weight to improve safety.

\*\*\*The transportation efficiency for Wacom Cintiq Pro 17 is reduced compared to the comparative model, since its package size increased to enclose the simple stand.